

# YETTER-DRINFELD MODULES OVER WEAK BIALGEBRAS

S. CAENEPEEL, DINGGUO WANG, AND YANMIN YIN

**ABSTRACT.** We discuss properties of Yetter-Drinfeld modules over weak bialgebras over commutative rings. The categories of left-left, left-right, right-left and right-right Yetter-Drinfeld modules over a weak Hopf algebra are isomorphic as braided monoidal categories. Yetter-Drinfeld modules can be viewed as weak Doi-Hopf modules, and, a fortiori, as weak entwined modules. If  $H$  is finitely generated and projective, then we introduce the Drinfeld double using duality results between entwining structures and smash product structures, and show that the category of Yetter-Drinfeld modules is isomorphic to the category of modules over the Drinfeld double. The category of finitely generated projective Yetter-Drinfeld modules over a weak Hopf algebra has duality.

## INTRODUCTION

Weak bialgebras and Hopf algebras are generalizations of ordinary bialgebras and Hopf algebras in the following sense: the defining axioms are the same, but the multiplicativity of the counit and comultiplicativity of the unit are replaced by weaker axioms. The easiest example of a weak Hopf algebra is a groupoid algebra; other examples are face algebras [10], quantum groupoids [19], generalized Kac algebras [25] and quantum transformation groupoids [18]. Temperley-Lieb algebras give rise to weak Hopf algebras (see [18]). A purely algebraic study of weak Hopf algebras has been presented in [2]. A survey of weak Hopf algebras and their applications may be found in [18]. It has turned out that many results of classical Hopf algebra theory can be generalized to weak Hopf algebras.

Yetter-Drinfeld modules over finite dimensional weak Hopf algebras over fields have been introduced by Nenciu [16]. It is shown in [16] that the category of finite dimensional Yetter-Drinfeld modules is isomorphic to the category of finite dimensional modules over the Drinfeld double, as introduced in the appendix of [1]. It is also shown that this category is braided isomorphic to the center of the category of finite dimensional  $H$ -modules. In this note, we discuss Yetter-Drinfeld modules over weak bialgebras over commutative rings. The results in [16] are slightly generalized and more properties are given.

In Section 2, we compute the weak center of the category of modules over a weak bialgebra  $H$ , and show that it is isomorphic to the category of Yetter-Drinfeld modules. If  $H$  is a weak Hopf algebra, then the weak center equals the center. In this situation, properties of the center construction can be applied to show that the four categories of Yetter-Drinfeld modules, namely the left-left, left-right, right-left and

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16W30.

Research supported by the projects G.0278.01 "Construction and applications of non-commutative geometry: from algebra to physics" from FWO-Vlaanderen and "New computational, geometric and algebraic methods applied to quantum groups and differential operators" from the Flemish and Chinese governments.

right-right versions, are isomorphic as braided monoidal categories. Here we apply methods that have been used before in [5], in the case of quasi-Hopf algebras.

In [7], it was observed that Yetter-Drinfeld modules over a classical Hopf algebra are special cases of Doi-Hopf modules, as introduced by Doi and Koppinen (see [8, 13]). In Section 3, we will show that Yetter-Drinfeld modules over weak Hopf algebras are weak Doi-Hopf modules, in the sense of Böhm [1], and, a fortiori, weak entwined modules [6], and comodules over a coring [4].

The advantage of this approach is that it leads easily to a new description of the Drinfeld double of a finitely generated projective weak Hopf algebra, using methods developed in [6]: we define the Drinfeld double as a weak smash product of  $H$  and its dual. We show that our Drinfeld double is equal to the Drinfeld double of [1, 16] (see Proposition 4.3) and anti-isomorphic to the Drinfeld double of [17] (see Proposition 4.5). In Section 5, we show that the category of finitely generated projective Yetter-Drinfeld modules over a weak Hopf algebra has duality.

In Sections 1.1 and 1.2, we recall some general properties of weak bialgebras and Hopf algebras. Further detail can be found in [4, 2, 18]. In Section 1.3, we recall the center construction, and in Section 1.4, we recall the notions of weak Doi-Hopf modules, weak entwining structures and weak smash products.

## 1. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

**1.1. Weak bialgebras.** Let  $k$  be a commutative ring. Recall that a weak  $k$ -bialgebra is a  $k$ -module with a  $k$ -algebra structure  $(\mu, \eta)$  and a  $k$ -coalgebra structure  $(\Delta, \varepsilon)$  such that  $\Delta(hk) = \Delta(h)\Delta(k)$ , for all  $h, k \in H$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad \Delta^2(1) &= 1_{(1)} \otimes 1_{(2)} 1_{(1')} \otimes 1_{(2')} = 1_{(1)} \otimes 1_{(1')} 1_{(2)} \otimes 1_{(2')}, \\ (2) \quad \varepsilon(hkl) &= \varepsilon(hk_{(1)})\varepsilon(k_{(2)}l) = \varepsilon(hk_{(2)})\varepsilon(k_{(1)}l), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $h, k, l \in H$ . We use the Sweedler-Heyneman notation for the comultiplication, namely

$$\Delta(h) = h_{(1)} \otimes h_{(2)} = h_{(1')} \otimes h_{(2')}.$$

We summarize the elementary properties of weak bialgebras. The proofs are direct applications of the defining axioms (see [2, 18]). We have idempotent maps  $\varepsilon_t, \varepsilon_s : H \rightarrow H$  defined by

$$\varepsilon_t(h) = \varepsilon(1_{(1)}h)1_{(2)} ; \varepsilon_s(h) = 1_{(1)}\varepsilon(h1_{(2)}).$$

$\varepsilon_t$  and  $\varepsilon_s$  are called the target map and the source map, and their images  $H_t = \text{Im}(\varepsilon_t) = \text{Ker}(H - \varepsilon_t)$  and  $H_s = \text{Im}(\varepsilon_s) = \text{Ker}(H - \varepsilon_s)$  are called the target and source space. For all  $g, h \in H$ , we have

$$(3) \quad h_{(1)} \otimes \varepsilon_t(h_{(2)}) = 1_{(1)}h \otimes 1_{(2)} \text{ and } \varepsilon_s(h_{(1)}) \otimes h_{(2)} = 1_{(1)} \otimes h1_{(2)},$$

and

$$(4) \quad h\varepsilon_t(g) = \varepsilon(h_{(1)}g)h_{(2)} \text{ and } \varepsilon_s(g)h = h_{(1)}\varepsilon(gh_{(2)}).$$

From (4), it follows immediately that

$$(5) \quad \varepsilon(h\varepsilon_t(g)) = \varepsilon(hg) \text{ and } \varepsilon(\varepsilon_s(g)h) = \varepsilon(gh).$$

The source and target space can be described as follows:

$$(6) \quad H_t = \{h \in H \mid \Delta(h) = 1_{(1)}h \otimes 1_{(2)}\} = \{\phi(1_{(1)})1_{(2)} \mid \phi \in H^*\};$$

$$(7) \quad H_s = \{h \in H \mid \Delta(h) = 1_{(1)} \otimes h1_{(2)}\} = \{1_{(1)}\phi(1_{(2)}) \mid \phi \in H^*\}.$$

We also have

$$(8) \quad \varepsilon_t(h)\varepsilon_s(k) = \varepsilon_s(k)\varepsilon_t(h),$$

and its dual property

$$(9) \quad \varepsilon_s(h_{(1)}) \otimes \varepsilon_t(h_{(2)}) = \varepsilon_s(h_{(2)}) \otimes \varepsilon_t(h_{(1)}).$$

Finally  $\varepsilon_s(1) = \varepsilon_t(1) = 1$ , and

$$(10) \quad \varepsilon_t(h)\varepsilon_t(g) = \varepsilon_t(\varepsilon_t(h)g) \text{ and } \varepsilon_s(h)\varepsilon_s(g) = \varepsilon_s(h\varepsilon_s(g)).$$

This implies that  $H_s$  and  $H_t$  are subalgebras of  $H$ .

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $H$  be a weak bialgebra over a commutative ring. Then  $\Delta(1) \in H_s \otimes H_t$ .*

*Proof.* Applying  $H \otimes \varepsilon \otimes H$  to (1), we find that  $1_{(1)} \otimes 1_{(2)} = \varepsilon_s(1_{(1)}) \otimes 1_{(2)} \in H_s \otimes H$  and  $1_{(1)} \otimes 1_{(2)} = 1_{(1)} \otimes \varepsilon_t(1_{(2)}) \in H \otimes H_t$ . Now let  $K_s = \text{Ker}(\varepsilon_s)$ ,  $K_t = \text{Ker}(\varepsilon_t)$ . Then  $H = H_s \oplus K_s = H_t \oplus K_t$ , and

$$H \otimes H = H_s \otimes H_t \oplus H_s \otimes K_t \oplus K_s \otimes H_t \oplus K_s \otimes K_t,$$

so it follows that  $H_s \otimes H_t = H \otimes H_t \cap H_s \otimes H$ .  $\square$

The target and source map for the weak bialgebra  $H^{\text{op}}$  are

$$(11) \quad \bar{\varepsilon}_t(h) = \varepsilon(h1_{(1)})1_{(2)} \in H_t \text{ and } \bar{\varepsilon}_s(h) = \varepsilon(1_{(2)}h)1_{(1)} \in H_s.$$

$\bar{\varepsilon}_t$  and  $\bar{\varepsilon}_s$  are also projections.

The source and target space are anti-isomorphic, and they are separable Frobenius algebras over  $k$ . This was first proved for weak Hopf algebras (see [2]), and then generalized to weak bialgebras (see [22]).

**Lemma 1.2.** [22] *Let  $H$  be a weak bialgebra. Then  $\bar{\varepsilon}_s$  restricts to an anti-algebra isomorphism  $H_t \rightarrow H_s$  with inverse  $\varepsilon_t$ , and  $\bar{\varepsilon}_t$  restricts to an anti-algebra isomorphism  $H_s \rightarrow H_t$  with inverse  $\varepsilon_s$ .*

**Proposition 1.3.** [22] *Let  $H$  be a weak bialgebra. Then  $H_s$  and  $H_t$  are Frobenius separable  $k$ -algebras. The separability idempotents of  $H_t$  and  $H_s$  are*

$$e_t = \varepsilon_t(1_{(1)}) \otimes 1_{(2)} = 1_{(2)} \otimes \bar{\varepsilon}_t(1_{(1)});$$

$$e_s = 1_{(1)} \otimes \varepsilon_s(1_{(2)}) = \bar{\varepsilon}_s(1_{(2)}) \otimes 1_{(1)}.$$

*The Frobenius systems for  $H_t$  and  $H_s$  are respectively  $(e_t, \varepsilon|_{H_t})$  and  $(e_s, \varepsilon|_{H_s})$ . In particular, we have for all  $z \in H_t$  that*

$$(12) \quad z\varepsilon_t(1_{(1)}) \otimes 1_{(2)} = \varepsilon_t(1_{(1)}) \otimes 1_{(2)}z.$$

It was shown in [17] that the category of modules over a weak Hopf algebra is monoidal; it follows from the results of [22] that this property can be generalized to weak bialgebras. We explain now how this can be done directly.

Let  $M$  be a left  $H$ -module. By restriction of scalars,  $M$  is a left  $H_t$ -module;  $M$  becomes an  $H_t$ -bimodule, if we define a right  $H_t$ -action by

$$m \cdot z = \bar{\varepsilon}_s(z)m.$$

Let  $M, N \in {}_H\mathcal{M}$ , the category of left  $H$ -modules. We define

$$M \otimes_t N = \Delta(1)(M \otimes N),$$

the  $k$ -submodule of  $M \otimes N$  generated by elements of the form  $1_{(1)} \otimes 1_{(2)}$ .  $M \otimes_t N$  is a left  $H$ -module, with left diagonal action  $h \cdot (m \otimes n) = h_{(1)}m \otimes h_{(2)}n$ . It follows from (1) that the tensor product  $\otimes_t$  is associative. Observe that

$$M \otimes_t N \otimes_t P = \Delta^2(1)(M \otimes N \otimes P).$$

$H_t \in {}_H\mathcal{M}$ , with left  $H$ -action  $h \rightarrow z = \varepsilon_t(hz)$ . The induced  $H_t$ -bimodule structure is given by left and right multiplication by elements of  $H_t$ .

For  $M, N \in {}_H\mathcal{M}$ , consider the projection

$$\pi : M \otimes N \rightarrow M \otimes_t N, \quad \pi(m \otimes n) = 1_{(1)}m \otimes 1_{(2)}n.$$

Applying  $\bar{\varepsilon}_s \otimes H_t$  to (12), we find

$$\bar{\varepsilon}_s(z\varepsilon_t(1_{(1)})) \otimes 1_{(2)} = 1_{(1)}\bar{\varepsilon}_s(z) \otimes 1_{(2)} = 1_{(1)} \otimes 1_{(2)}z,$$

hence

$$\pi(mz \otimes n) = \pi(\bar{\varepsilon}_s(z)m \otimes n) = 1_{(1)}\bar{\varepsilon}_s(z)m \otimes 1_{(2)}n = 1_{(1)}m \otimes 1_{(2)}zn = \pi(m \otimes zn).$$

So  $\pi$  induces a map  $\bar{\pi} : M \otimes_{H_t} N \rightarrow M \otimes_t N$ , which is a left  $H_t$ -module isomorphism with inverse given by

$$\bar{\pi}^{-1}(1_{(1)}m \otimes 1_{(2)}n) = 1_{(1)}m \otimes_{H_t} 1_{(2)}n = m \otimes_{H_t} n.$$

**Proposition 1.4.** *Let  $H$  be a weak bialgebra. Then we have a monoidal category  $({}_H\mathcal{M}, \otimes_t, H_t, a, l, r)$ . The associativity constraints are the natural ones. The left and right unit constraints  $l_M : H_t \otimes_t M \rightarrow M$  and  $r_M : M \otimes_t H_t \rightarrow M$  and their inverses are given by the formulas*

$$l_M(1_{(1)} \rightarrow z \otimes 1_{(2)}m) = zm ; \quad l_M^{-1}(m) = \varepsilon_t(1_{(1)}) \otimes 1_{(2)}m ;$$

$$r_M(1_{(1)}m \otimes 1_{(2)} \rightarrow z) = \bar{\varepsilon}_s(z)m ; \quad r_M^{-1}(m) = 1_{(1)}m \otimes 1_{(2)}.$$

*Proof.* This is a direct consequence of the observations made above. Let us check that

$$\begin{aligned} l_M^{-1}(l_M(1_{(1)} \rightarrow z \otimes 1_{(2)}m)) &= l_M^{-1}(zm) = \varepsilon_t(1_{(1)}) \otimes 1_{(2)}zm \\ &= z\varepsilon_t(1_{(1)}) \otimes 1_{(2)}m \stackrel{(10)}{=} \varepsilon_t(z1_{(1)}) \otimes 1_{(2)}m \\ &= \varepsilon_t(1_{(1)}z) \otimes 1_{(2)}m = 1_{(1)} \rightarrow z \otimes 1_{(2)}m \\ l_M(l_M^{-1}(m)) &= l_M(\varepsilon_t(1_{(1)}) \otimes 1_{(2)}m) = m \\ r_M^{-1}(r_M(1_{(1)}m \otimes 1_{(2)} \rightarrow z)) &= r_M^{-1}(\bar{\varepsilon}_s(z)m) = 1_{(1)}\bar{\varepsilon}_s(z)m \otimes 1_{(2)} \\ &= 1_{(1)}m \otimes 1_{(2)}z = 1_{(1)}m \otimes 1_{(2)} \rightarrow z \\ r_M(r_M^{-1}(m)) &= r_M(1_{(1)}m \otimes 1_{(2)}) = \bar{\varepsilon}_s(1)m = m. \end{aligned}$$

□

**1.2. Weak Hopf algebras.** A weak Hopf algebra is a weak bialgebra together with a map  $S : H \rightarrow H$ , called the antipode, satisfying

$$(13) \quad S * H = \varepsilon_s, \quad H * S = \varepsilon_t, \quad \text{and} \quad S * H * S = S,$$

where  $*$  is the convolution product. It follows immediately that

$$(14) \quad S = \varepsilon_s * S = S * \varepsilon_t.$$

If the antipode exists, then it is unique. We will always assume that  $S$  is bijective; if  $H$  is a finite dimensional weak Hopf algebra over a field, then  $S$  is automatically bijective (see [2, Theorem 2.10]).

**Lemma 1.5.** *Let  $H$  be a weak Hopf algebra. Then  $S$  is an anti-algebra and an anti-coalgebra morphism. For all  $h, g \in H$ , we have*

$$(15) \quad \varepsilon_t(hg) = \varepsilon_t(h\varepsilon_t(g)) = h_{(1)}\varepsilon_t(g)S(h_{(2)});$$

$$(16) \quad \varepsilon_s(hg) = \varepsilon_s(\varepsilon_s(h)g) = S(g_{(1)})\varepsilon_s(h)g_{(2)};$$

$$(17) \quad \Delta(\varepsilon_t(h)) = h_{(1)}S(h_{(3)}) \otimes \varepsilon_t(h_{(2)})$$

$$(18) \quad \Delta(\varepsilon_s(h)) = \varepsilon_s(h_{(2)}) \otimes S(h_{(1)})h_{(3)}.$$

**Lemma 1.6.** *Let  $H$  be a weak Hopf algebra. For all  $h \in H$ , we have*

$$(19) \quad \varepsilon_t(h) = \varepsilon(S(h)1_{(1)})1_{(2)} = \varepsilon(1_{(2)}h)S(1_{(1)}) = S(\bar{\varepsilon}_s(h))$$

$$(20) \quad \varepsilon_s(h) = 1_{(1)}\varepsilon(1_{(2)}S(h)) = \varepsilon(h1_{(1)})S(1_{(2)}) = S(\bar{\varepsilon}_t(h)).$$

**Corollary 1.7.** *Let  $H$  be a weak Hopf algebra. For all  $h \in H$ , we have*

$$(21) \quad \varepsilon_t(h_{(1)}) \otimes h_{(2)} = S(1_{(1)}) \otimes 1_{(2)}h; \quad h_{(1)} \otimes \varepsilon_s(h_{(2)}) = h1_{(1)} \otimes S(1_{(2)}).$$

**Proposition 1.8.** *Let  $H$  be a weak Hopf algebra. Then*

$$(22) \quad \varepsilon_t \circ S = \varepsilon_t \circ \varepsilon_s = S \circ \varepsilon_s; \quad \varepsilon_s \circ S = \varepsilon_s \circ \varepsilon_t = S \circ \varepsilon_t.$$

**Corollary 1.9.** *Let  $H$  be a weak Hopf algebra with bijective antipode. Then  $S|_{H_t} = (\varepsilon_s)|_{H_t}$ , and  $S|_{H_s}^{-1} = (\bar{\varepsilon}_t)|_{H_s}$ , so  $S$  restricts to an anti-algebra isomorphism  $H_t \rightarrow H_s$ .*

It follows that the separability idempotents of  $H_t$  and  $H_s$  are  $e_t = S(1_{(1)}) \otimes 1_{(2)}$  and  $e_s = 1_{(1)} \otimes S(1_{(2)})$ . Consequently, we have the following formulas, for  $z \in H_t$  and  $y \in H_s$ :

$$(23) \quad zS(1_{(1)}) \otimes 1_{(2)} = S(1_{(1)}) \otimes 1_{(2)}z;$$

$$(24) \quad y1_{(1)} \otimes 1_{(2)} = 1_{(1)} \otimes S^{-1}(y)1_{(2)}.$$

Applying  $S^{-1} \otimes H$  to (23), we find

$$(25) \quad 1_{(1)}S^{-1}(z) \otimes 1_{(2)} = 1_{(1)} \otimes 1_{(2)}z.$$

**1.3. The center of a monoidal category.** Let  $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I, a, l, r)$  be a monoidal category. The weak left center  $\mathcal{W}_l(\mathcal{C})$  is the category with the following objects and morphisms. An object is a couple  $(M, \sigma_{M,-})$ , with  $M \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $\sigma_{M,-} : M \otimes - \rightarrow - \otimes M$  a natural transformation, satisfying the following condition, for all  $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$ :

$$(26) \quad (X \otimes \sigma_{M,Y}) \circ a_{X,M,Y} \circ (\sigma_{M,X} \otimes Y) = a_{X,Y,M} \circ \sigma_{M,X \otimes Y} \circ a_{M,X,Y},$$

and such that  $\sigma_{M,I}$  is the composition of the natural isomorphisms  $M \otimes I \cong M \cong I \otimes M$ . A morphism between  $(M, \sigma_{M,-})$  and  $(M', \sigma_{M',-})$  consists of  $\vartheta : M \rightarrow M'$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  such that

$$(X \otimes \vartheta) \circ \sigma_{M,X} = \sigma_{M',X} \circ (\vartheta \otimes X).$$

The left center  $\mathcal{Z}_l(\mathcal{C})$  is the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{W}_l(\mathcal{C})$  consisting of objects  $(M, \sigma_{M,-})$  with  $\sigma_{M,-}$  a natural isomorphism.  $\mathcal{Z}_l(\mathcal{C})$  is a braided monoidal category. The tensor product is

$$(M, \sigma_{M,-}) \otimes (M', \sigma_{M',-}) = (M \otimes M', \sigma_{M \otimes M',-})$$

with

$$(27) \quad \sigma_{M \otimes M', X} = a_{X, M, M'} \circ (\sigma_{M, X} \otimes M') \circ a_{M, X, M'}^{-1} \circ (M \otimes \sigma_{M', X}) \circ a_{M, M', X},$$

and the unit is  $(I, \sigma_{I,-})$ , with

$$(28) \quad \sigma_{I, M} = r_M^{-1} \circ l_M.$$

The braiding  $c$  on  $\mathcal{Z}_l(\mathcal{C})$  is given by

$$(29) \quad c_{M, M'} = \sigma_{M, M'} : (M, \sigma_{M,-}) \otimes (M', \sigma_{M',-}) \rightarrow (M', \sigma_{M',-}) \otimes (M, \sigma_{M,-}).$$

$\mathcal{Z}_l(\mathcal{C})^{\text{in}}$  will be our notation for the monoidal category  $\mathcal{Z}_l(\mathcal{C})$ , together with the inverse braiding  $\tilde{c}$  given by  $\tilde{c}_{M, M'} = c_{M', M}^{-1} = \sigma_{M', M}^{-1}$ .

The right center  $\mathcal{Z}_r(\mathcal{C})$  is defined in a similar way. An object is a couple  $(M, \tau_{-, M})$ , where  $M \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $\tau_{-, M} : - \otimes M \rightarrow M \otimes -$  is a family of natural isomorphisms such that  $\tau_{-, I}$  is the natural isomorphism and

$$(30) \quad a_{M, X, Y}^{-1} \circ \tau_{X \otimes Y, M} \circ a_{X, Y, M}^{-1} = (\tau_{X, M} \otimes Y) \circ a_{X, M, Y}^{-1} \circ (X \otimes \tau_{Y, M}),$$

for all  $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$ . A morphism between  $(M, \tau_{-, M})$  and  $(M', \tau_{-, M'})$  consists of  $\vartheta : M \rightarrow M'$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  such that

$$(\vartheta \otimes X) \circ \tau_{X, M} = \tau_{X, M'} \circ (X \otimes \vartheta),$$

for all  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ .  $\mathcal{Z}_r(\mathcal{C})$  is a braided monoidal category. The unit is  $(I, l_-^{-1} \circ r_-)$  and the tensor product is

$$(M, \tau_{-, M}) \otimes (M', \tau_{-, M'}) = (M \otimes M', \tau_{-, M \otimes M'})$$

with

$$(31) \quad \tau_{X, M \otimes M'} = a_{M, M', X}^{-1} \circ (M \otimes \tau_{X, M'}) \circ a_{M, X, M'} \circ (\tau_{X, M} \otimes M') \circ a_{X, M, M'}^{-1}.$$

The braiding  $d$  is given by

$$(32) \quad d_{M, M'} = \tau_{M, M'} : (M, \tau_{-, M}) \otimes (M', \tau_{-, M'}) \rightarrow (M', \tau_{-, M'}) \otimes (M, \tau_{-, M}).$$

$\mathcal{Z}_r(\mathcal{C})^{\text{in}}$  is the monoidal category  $\mathcal{Z}_r(\mathcal{C})$  with the inverse braiding  $\tilde{d}$  given by  $\tilde{d}_{M, M'} = d_{M', M}^{-1} = \tau_{M', M}^{-1}$ .

For details in the case where  $\mathcal{C}$  is a strict monoidal category, we refer to [12, Theorem XIII.4.2]. The results remain valid in the case of an arbitrary monoidal category, since every monoidal category is equivalent to a strict one. Recall the following result from [5].

**Proposition 1.10.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a monoidal category. Then we have an isomorphism of braided monoidal categories  $F : \mathcal{Z}_l(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_r(\mathcal{C})^{\text{in}}$ , given by*

$$F(M, \sigma_{M,-}) = (M, \sigma_{M,-}^{-1}) \text{ and } F(\vartheta) = \vartheta.$$

We have a second monoidal structure on  $\mathcal{C}$ , defined as follows:

$$\overline{\mathcal{C}} = (\mathcal{C}, \overline{\otimes} = \otimes \circ \tau, I, \overline{a}, r, l)$$

with  $\tau : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$ ,  $\tau(M, N) = (N, M)$  and  $\overline{a}$  defined by  $\overline{a}_{M, N, X} = a_{X, N, M}^{-1}$ .

If  $c$  is a braiding on  $\mathcal{C}$ , then  $\overline{c}$ , given by  $\overline{c}_{M, N} = c_{N, M}$  is a braiding on  $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ . In [5], the following obvious result was stated.

**Proposition 1.11.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a monoidal category. Then*

$$\overline{\mathcal{Z}_l(\mathcal{C})} \cong \mathcal{Z}_r(\overline{\mathcal{C}}) ; \quad \overline{\mathcal{Z}_r(\mathcal{C})} \cong \mathcal{Z}_l(\overline{\mathcal{C}})$$

*as braided monoidal categories.*

**1.4. Weak entwining structures and weak smash products.** The results in this Section are taken from [6]. Let  $A$  be a ring without unit.  $e \in A$  is called a preunit if  $ea = ae = ae^2$ , for all  $a \in A$ . Then map  $p : A \rightarrow A$ ,  $p(a) = ae$ , satisfies the following properties:  $p \circ p = p$  and  $p(ab) = p(a)p(b)$ . Then  $\overline{A} = \text{Coim}(p)$  is a ring with unit  $\overline{e}$  and  $\underline{A} = \text{Im}(p)$  is a ring with unit  $e^2$ .  $p$  induces a ring isomorphism  $\overline{A} \rightarrow \underline{A}$ .

Let  $k$  be a commutative ring,  $A, B$   $k$ -algebras with unit, and  $R : B \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes B$  a  $k$ -linear map. We use the notation

$$(33) \quad R(b \otimes a) = a_R \otimes b_R = a_r \otimes b_r,$$

where the summation is implicitly understood.  $A \#_R B$  is the  $k$ -algebra  $A \otimes B$  with newly defined multiplication

$$(a \# b)(c \# d) = ac_R \# b_R d.$$

$(A, B, R)$  is called a weak smash product structure if  $A \#_R B$  is an associative  $k$ -algebra with preunit  $1_A \# 1_B$ . The multiplication is associative if and only if

$$R(bd \otimes a) = a_{Rr} \otimes b_r d_R \text{ and } R(b \otimes ac) = a_R c_r \otimes b_{Rr},$$

for all  $a, c \in A$  and  $b, d \in B$ .  $1_A \# 1_B$  is a preunit if and only if

$$R(1_B \otimes a) = a(1_A)_R \otimes (1_B)_R \text{ and } R(b \otimes 1_A) = (1_A)_R \otimes (1_B)_R b.$$

A left-right weak entwining structure is a triple  $(A, C, \psi)$ , where  $A$  is an algebra,  $C$  is a coalgebra, and  $\psi : A \otimes C \rightarrow A \otimes C$  is a  $k$ -linear map satisfying the conditions

$$a_\psi \otimes \Delta(c^\psi) = a_\psi \Psi \otimes c_{(1)}^\Psi \otimes c_{(2)}^\psi ; \quad (ab)_\psi \otimes c^\psi = a_\psi b_\Psi \otimes c^{\Psi\psi} ;$$

$$1_\psi \otimes c^\psi = \varepsilon(c_{(1)}^\psi) 1_\psi \otimes c_{(2)} ; \quad a_\psi \varepsilon(c^\psi) = \varepsilon(c^\psi) a 1_\psi.$$

Here we use the notation (with summation implicitly understood):

$$\psi(a \otimes c) = a_\psi \otimes c^\psi.$$

An entwined module is a  $k$ -module  $M$  with a left  $A$ -action and a right  $C$ -coaction such that

$$\rho(am) = a_\psi m_{[0]} \otimes m_{[1]}^\psi.$$

The category of entwined modules and left  $A$ -linear right  $C$ -colinear maps is denoted by  ${}_A \mathcal{M}(\psi)^C$ .

Let  $H$  be a weak bialgebra, and  $A$  a right  $H$ -comodule, which is also an algebra with unit.  $A$  is called a right  $H$ -comodule algebra if  $\rho(a)\rho(b) = \rho(ab)$  and  $1_{[0]} \otimes \varepsilon_t(1_{[1]}) = \rho(1)$ .

From [1], we recall the following definitions. Let  $C$  be a left  $H$ -module which is also a coalgebra with counit.  $C$  is called a left  $H$ -comodule algebra if  $\Delta_C(hc) = \Delta_H(h)\Delta_C(c)$  and

$$(34) \quad \varepsilon_C(hkc) = \varepsilon_H(hk_{(2)})\varepsilon_C(k_{(1)}c),$$

for all  $c \in C$  and  $h, k \in H$ . Several equivalent definitions are given in [6, Sec. 4]. We then call  $(H, A, C)$  a left-right weak Doi-Hopf datum. A weak Doi-Hopf

module over  $(H, A, C)$  is a  $k$ -module  $M$  with a left  $A$ -action and a right  $C$ -coaction, satisfying the following compatibility relation, for all  $m \in M$  and  $a \in A$ :

$$(35) \quad \rho(am) = a_{[0]}m_{[0]} \otimes a_{[1]}m_{[1]}.$$

The category of weak Doi-Hopf modules over  $(H, A, C)$  and left  $A$ -linear right  $C$ -colinear maps is denoted by  ${}_A\mathcal{M}(H)^C$ .

Let  $(H, A, C)$  be a weak left-right Doi-Hopf datum, and consider the map

$$\psi : A \otimes C \rightarrow A \otimes C, \quad \psi(a \otimes c) = a_{[0]} \otimes a_{[1]}c.$$

Then  $(A, C, \psi)$  is a weak left-right entwining structure, and we have an isomorphism of categories  ${}_A\mathcal{M}(H)^C \cong {}_A\mathcal{M}(\psi)^C$ .

Let  $(A, C, \psi)$  be a weak left-right entwining structure, and assume that  $C$  is finitely generated projective as a  $k$ -module, with finite dual basis  $\{(c_i, c_i^*) \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$ . Then we have a weak smash product structure  $(A, C^*, R)$ , with  $R : C^* \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes C^*$  given by

$$(36) \quad R(c^* \otimes a) = \sum_i \langle c^*, c_i^\psi \rangle a_\psi \otimes c_i^*.$$

We have an isomorphism of categories

$$(37) \quad F : {}_A\mathcal{M}(\psi)^C \rightarrow \overline{{}_A\mathcal{M}}^{C^*},$$

defined also follows:  $F(M) = M$  as a  $k$ -module, with action  $[a\#c^*] \cdot m = \langle c^*, m_{[1]} \rangle am_{[0]}$ . Details can be found in [6, Theorem 3.4].

## 2. YETTER-DRINFELD MODULES OVER WEAK HOPF ALGEBRAS

Let  $H$  be a weak bialgebra. A left-left Yetter-Drinfeld module is a  $k$ -module with a left  $H$ -action and a left  $H$ -coaction such that the following conditions hold, for all  $m \in M$  and  $h \in H$ :

$$(38) \quad \lambda(m) = m_{[-1]} \otimes m_{[0]} \in H \otimes_t M;$$

$$(39) \quad h_{(1)}m_{[-1]} \otimes h_{(2)}m_{[0]} = (h_{(1)}m)_{[-1]}h_{(2)} \otimes (h_{(1)}m)_{[0]}.$$

We will now state some equivalent definitions. First we will rewrite the counit property for Yetter-Drinfeld modules.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $H$  be a weak bialgebra, and  $\lambda : M \rightarrow H \otimes_t M$ ,  $\rho(m) = m_{[-1]} \otimes m_{[0]}$  a  $k$ -linear map. Then*

$$(40) \quad \varepsilon(m_{[-1]})m_{[0]} = \varepsilon_t(m_{[-1]})m_{[0]}.$$

*Consequently, in the definition of a Yetter-Drinfeld module, the counit property  $\varepsilon(m_{[-1]})m_{[0]} = m$  can be replaced by  $\varepsilon_t(m_{[-1]})m_{[0]} = m$ .*

*Proof.*

$$\varepsilon_t(m_{[-1]})m_{[0]} = \varepsilon(1_{(1)}m_{[-1]})1_{(2)}m_{[0]} = \varepsilon(m_{[-1]})m_{[0]}.$$

□

In the case of a weak Hopf algebra, the compatibility relation (39) can also be restated:



**Proposition 2.2.** (cf. [16, Remark 2.6]) *Let  $H$  be a weak Hopf algebra, and  $M$  a  $k$ -module, with a left  $H$ -action and a left  $H$ -coaction.  $M$  is a Yetter-Drinfeld module if and only if*

$$(41) \quad \lambda(hm) = h_{(1)}m_{[-1]}S(h_{(3)}) \otimes h_{(2)}m_{[0]}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be a Yetter-Drinfeld module. Then we compute

$$\begin{aligned} h_{(1)}m_{[-1]}S(h_{(3)}) \otimes h_{(2)}m_{[0]} &= (h_{(1)}m)_{[-1]}h_{(2)}S(h_{(3)}) \otimes (h_{(1)}m)_{[0]} \\ &\stackrel{(3)}{=} (h_{(1)}m)_{[-1]}\varepsilon_t(h_{(2)}) \otimes (h_{(1)}m)_{[0]} \stackrel{(3)}{=} (1_{(1)}hm)_{[-1]}1_{(2)} \otimes (1_{(1)}hm)_{[0]} \\ &\stackrel{(39)}{=} 1_{(1)}(hm)_{[-1]} \otimes 1_{(2)}(hm)_{[0]} \stackrel{(38)}{=} (hm)_{[-1]} \otimes (hm)_{[0]} = \lambda(hm). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, assume that (41) holds for all  $h \in H$  and  $m \in M$ . Taking  $h = 1$  in (41), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(m) &= 1_{(1)}m_{[-1]}S(1_{(3)}) \otimes 1_{(2)}m_{[0]} \\ &= 1_{(1)}m_{[-1]}S(1_{(2')}) \otimes 1_{(2)}1_{(1')}m_{[0]} \in H \otimes_t M \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(m) &= 1_{(1)}m_{[-1]}S(1_{(3)}) \otimes 1_{(2)}m_{[0]} = 1_{(1)}m_{[-1]}S(1_{(2')}) \otimes 1_{(1')}1_{(2)}m_{[0]} \\ (42) \quad &= m_{[-1]}S(1_{(2')}) \otimes 1_{(1')}m_{[0]}. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} (h_{(1)}m)_{[-1]}h_{(2)} \otimes (h_{(1)}m)_{[0]} &\stackrel{(41)}{=} h_{(1)}m_{[-1]}S(h_{(3)})h_{(4)} \otimes h_{(2)}m_{[0]} \\ &= h_{(1)}m_{[-1]}\varepsilon_s(h_{(3)}) \otimes h_{(2)}m_{[0]} \stackrel{(21)}{=} h_{(1)}m_{[-1]}S(1_{(2)}) \otimes h_{(2)}1_{(1)}m_{[0]} \\ &\stackrel{(42)}{=} h_{(1)}m_{[-1]} \otimes h_{(2)}m_{[0]}, \end{aligned}$$

as needed.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $M$  be a left-left Yetter-Drinfeld module. For all  $y \in H_s$ ,  $z \in H_t$  and  $m \in M$ , we have*

$$(43) \quad \lambda(zm) = zm_{[-1]} \otimes m_{[0]}; \quad \lambda(yz) = m_{[-1]}S(y) \otimes m_{[0]}.$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(zm) &\stackrel{(6,41)}{=} 1_{(1)}zm_{[-1]}S(1_{(3)}) \otimes 1_{(2)}m_{[0]} \\ &\stackrel{(8)}{=} z1_{(1)}m_{[-1]}S(1_{(3)}) \otimes 1_{(2)}m_{[0]} \stackrel{(41)}{=} zm_{[-1]} \otimes m_{[0]}. \end{aligned}$$

The other assertion is proved in a similar way.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $M$  be a left-left Yetter-Drinfeld module over a weak Hopf algebra with bijective antipode. Then we have the following identities, for all  $m \in M$ :*

$$(44) \quad 1_{(1)}m_{[0]} \otimes 1_{(2)}S^{-1}(m_{[-1]}) = m_{[0]} \otimes S^{-1}(m_{[-1]});$$

$$(45) \quad \varepsilon_s(S^{-2}(m_{[-1]}))m_{[0]} = m.$$

*Proof.* Apply  $S^{-1}$  to the first factor of (42), and then switch the two tensor factors. Then we obtain (44). (45) is proved as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} m &= \varepsilon_t(m_{[-1]})m_{[0]} \stackrel{(40)}{=} \varepsilon(m_{[-1]})m_{[0]} = \varepsilon(S^{-1}(m_{[-1]}))m_{[0]} \\ &\stackrel{(44)}{=} \varepsilon(1_{(2)}S^{-1}(m_{[-1]}))1_{(1)}m_{[0]} \stackrel{(20)}{=} \varepsilon_s(S^{-2}(m_{[-1]}))m_{[0]}. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

The category of left-left Yetter-Drinfeld modules and left  $H$ -linear, left  $H$ -colinear maps will be denoted by  ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ .

**Example 2.5.** Let  $G$  be a groupoid, and  $kG$  the corresponding groupoid algebra. Then  $kG$  is a weak Hopf algebra. Let  $M$  be a left-left Yetter-Drinfeld module. Then  $M$  is a  $kG$ -comodule, so  $M$  is graded by the set  $G$ , that is

$$M = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G_1} M_\sigma,$$

and  $\lambda(m) = \sigma \otimes m$  if and only if  $m \in M_\sigma$ , or  $\deg(m) = \sigma$ .

Recall that the unit element of  $kG$  is  $1 = \sum_{x \in G_0} x$ , where  $x$  is the identity morphism of the object  $x \in G_0$ . Take  $m \in M_\sigma$ . Using (41), we find

$$\lambda(m) = \lambda(1m) = \sum_{x \in G} x\sigma x \otimes xm = 0,$$

unless  $s(\sigma) = \tau(\sigma) = x$ . So we have

$$M = \bigoplus_{\substack{\sigma \in G_1 \\ s(\sigma) = t(\sigma)}} M_\sigma.$$

Take  $m \in M_\sigma$ , with  $s(\sigma) = \tau(\sigma)$ , and  $\tau \in G_1$ . It follows from (41) that  $\lambda(\tau m) = \tau\sigma\tau^{-1} \otimes \tau m = 0$ , unless  $s(\tau) = x$ . If  $s(\tau) = x$ , then  $\deg(\tau m) = \tau\sigma\tau^{-1}$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $H$  be a weak bialgebra. Then the category  ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$  is isomorphic to the weak left center  $\mathcal{W}_l({}_H\mathcal{M})$  of the category of left  $H$ -modules. If  $H$  is a weak Hopf algebra with bijective antipode, then  ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$  is isomorphic to the left center  $\mathcal{Z}_l({}_H\mathcal{M})$*

*Proof.* We will restrict to a brief description of the connecting functors; for more detail (in the left-right case), we refer to [16, Lemma 4.3]. Take  $(M, \sigma_{M,-}) \in \mathcal{W}_l({}_H\mathcal{M})$ . For each left  $H$ -module  $V$ , we have a map  $\sigma_{M,V} : M \otimes_t V \rightarrow V \otimes_t M$  in  ${}_H\mathcal{M}$ . We will show that the map

$$\lambda : M \rightarrow H \otimes_t M, \quad \lambda(m) = \sigma_{M,H}(1_{(1)}m \otimes 1_{(2)}) = m_{[-1]} \otimes m_{[0]}$$

makes  $M$  into a Yetter-Drinfeld module. Conversely, let  $(M, \lambda)$  is a Yetter-Drinfeld module; a natural transformation  $\sigma$  is then defined by the formula

$$(46) \quad \sigma_{M,V}(1_{(1)}m \otimes 1_{(2)}v) = m_{[-1]}v \otimes m_{[0]}.$$

Straightforward computations show that  $(M, \sigma) \in \mathcal{W}_l({}_H\mathcal{M})$ . If  $H$  is a Hopf algebra with invertible antipode, then the inverse of  $\sigma_{M,V}$  is

$$(47) \quad \sigma_{M,V}^{-1}(1_{(1)}v \otimes 1_{(2)}m) = m_{[0]} \otimes S^{-1}(m_{[-1]})v.$$

□

From now on, we assume that  $H$  is a weak Hopf algebra with bijective antipode. Since the left center of a monoidal category is a braided monoidal category, it follows from Theorem 2.6 that  ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$  is a braided monoidal category; a direct but long proof can be given: see [16, Prop. 2.7]. The monoidal structure can be computed using (27). Take  $M, N \in {}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ , the  $H$ -coaction on  $M \otimes_t N$  is given by the formula

$$\lambda(1_{(1)}m \otimes 1_{(2)}n) = ((\sigma_{M,H} \otimes N) \circ (M \otimes \sigma_{N,H}))(1_{(1')}(1_{(1)}m \otimes 1_{(2)}n) \otimes 1_{(2')}).$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} x &= 1_{(1')} (1_{(1)} m \otimes 1_{(2)} n) \otimes 1_{(2')} \\ &= 1_{(1')} 1_{(1)} m \otimes 1_{(1'')} 1_{(2')} 1_{(2)} n \otimes 1_{(2'')} = 1_{(1)} m \otimes 1_{(1'')} 1_{(2)} n \otimes 1_{(2'')}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} (M \otimes \sigma_{N,H})(x) &= 1_{(1)} m \otimes (1_{(2)} n)_{[-1]} \otimes (1_{(2)} n)_{[0]} \\ &= 1_{(1)} m \otimes 1_{(2)} n_{[-1]} S(1_{(4)}) \otimes 1_{(3)} n_{[0]} \\ &= 1_{(1)} m \otimes 1_{(2)} 1_{(1')} n_{[-1]} S(1_{(3')}) \otimes 1_{(2')} n_{[0]} \\ &= 1_{(1)} m \otimes 1_{(2)} n_{[-1]} \otimes n_{[0]} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(48) \quad \lambda(1_{(1)} m \otimes 1_{(2)} n) = m_{[-1]} n_{[-1]} \otimes m_{[0]} \otimes n_{[0]}.$$

We compute the left  $H$ -coaction on  $H_t$  using (28) and (46). For any  $z \in H_t$ , this gives

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(z) &= \sigma_{H_t, H}((1_{(1)} \rightharpoonup z) \otimes 1_{(2)}) = r_M^{-1}(l_M((1_{(1)} \rightharpoonup z) \otimes 1_{(2)})) \\ (49) \quad &= r_M^{-1}(z) = 1_{(1)} z \otimes 1_{(2)} = \Delta(z). \end{aligned}$$

The braiding and its inverse are given by the formulas

$$\sigma_{M,N}(1_{(1)} m \otimes 1_{(2)} n) = m_{[-1]} n \otimes m_{[0]}; \quad \sigma_{M,N}^{-1}(1_{(1)} n \otimes 1_{(2)} m) = m_{[0]} \otimes S^{-1}(m_{[-1]}) n.$$

A left-right Yetter-Drinfeld module is a  $k$ -module with a left  $H$ -action and a right  $H$ -coaction such that the following conditions hold, for all  $m \in M$  and  $h \in H$ :

$$(50) \quad \rho(m) = m_{[0]} \otimes m_{[1]} \in M \otimes H;$$

$$(51) \quad h_{(1)} m_{[0]} \otimes h_{(2)} m_{[1]} = (h_{(2)} m)_{[0]} \otimes (h_{(2)} m)_{[1]} h_{(1)}.$$

The category of left-right Yetter-Drinfeld modules and left  $H$ -linear right  $H$ -colinear maps is denoted by  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ .

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let  $H$  be a weak Hopf algebra with bijective antipode. Then the category  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$  is isomorphic to the right center  $\mathcal{Z}_r({}_H\mathcal{M})$ .*

*Proof.* Take  $(M, \tau_{-,M}) \in \mathcal{Z}_r({}_H\mathcal{M})$ . We know from Proposition 1.10 that  $(M, \sigma_{M,-} = \tau_{-,M}^{-1}) \in \mathcal{Z}_l({}_H\mathcal{M})$ . Take the corresponding left-left Yetter-Drinfeld  $(M, \lambda)$ , as in Theorem 2.6, and define  $\rho : M \rightarrow M \otimes H$  by

$$(52) \quad \rho(m) = m_{[0]} \otimes m_{[1]} = m_{[0]} \otimes S^{-1}(m_{[-1]}).$$

It follows from (44) that  $\rho(m) \in M \otimes_t H$ . The coassociativity of  $\rho$  follows immediately from the coassociativity of  $\lambda$  and the anti-comultiplicativity of  $S^{-1}$ . Also

$$\varepsilon(m_{[1]}) m_{[0]} = \varepsilon(S^{-1}(m_{[-1]})) m_{[0]} = \varepsilon(m_{[-1]}) m_{[0]} = m.$$

From (47), it follows that

$$(53) \quad \tau_{V,M}(1_{(1)} v \otimes 1_{(2)} m) = m_{[0]} \otimes m_{[1]} v.$$

In particular,  $\tau_{M,H}(1_{(1)} \otimes 1_{(2)} m) = \rho(m)$ , and the fact that  $\tau_{M,H}$  is left  $H$ -linear implies (51). Hence  $(M, \rho)$  is a left-right Yetter-Drinfeld module.

Conversely, if  $(M, \rho)$  is a left-right Yetter-Drinfeld module, then  $(M, \tau_{-,M})$ , with  $\tau$  defined by (53) is an object of  $\mathcal{Z}_r({}_H\mathcal{M})$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.8.** *Let  $M$  be a  $k$ -module with a left  $H$ -action and a right  $H$ -coaction. Then  $M$  is a left-right Yetter-Drinfeld module if and only if*

$$(54) \quad \rho(hm) = h_{(2)}m_{[0]} \otimes h_{(3)}m_{[1]}S^{-1}(h_{(1)}).$$

**Corollary 2.9.** *Let  $M$  be a left-right Yetter-Drinfeld module. For all  $y \in H_s$ ,  $z \in H_t$  and  $m \in M$ , we have that*

$$(55) \quad \rho(yz) = m_{[0]} \otimes yz_{[1]} ; \quad \rho(zm) = m_{[0]} \otimes m_{[1]}S^{-1}(z).$$

**Corollary 2.10.** *Let  $M$  be a left-right Yetter-Drinfeld module. Then*

$$(56) \quad 1_{(2)}m_{[0]} \otimes m_{[1]}S^{-1}(1_{(1)}) = \rho(m),$$

for all  $m \in M$ .

*Proof.* Apply  $S^{-1} \otimes M$  to  $\lambda(m) = 1_{(1)}S(m_{[1]}) \otimes 1_{(2)}m_{[0]}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.11.** *The category  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$  is a braided monoidal category, isomorphic to  ${}_H^H\mathcal{YD}^{\text{in}}$ .*

In a similar way, we can introduce right-right and right-left Yetter-Drinfeld modules. The categories  $\mathcal{YD}_H^H$  and  ${}^H\mathcal{YD}_H$  of right-right and right-left Yetter-Drinfeld modules are isomorphic to the right and left center of  $\mathcal{M}_H$ . Let us summarize the results.

A right-right Yetter-Drinfeld module is a  $k$ -module  $M$  with a right  $H$ -action and a right  $H$ -coaction such that

$$(57) \quad \rho(m) = m_{[0]} \otimes m_{[1]} \in M \otimes_s H;$$

$$(58) \quad m_{[0]}h_{(1)} \otimes m_{[1]}h_{(2)} = (mh_{(2)})_{[0]} \otimes h_{(1)}(mh_{(2)})_{[1]};$$

or, equivalently,

$$(59) \quad \rho(mh) = m_{[0]}h_{(2)} \otimes S(h_{(1)})m_{[1]}h_{(3)}.$$

The counit condition  $m = \varepsilon(m_{[1]})m_{[0]}$  is equivalent to

$$m = m_{[0]}\varepsilon(m_{[1]}).$$

The natural isomorphism  $\tau_{-,M}$  corresponding to  $(M, \rho) \in \mathcal{YD}_H^H$  and its inverse are given by the formulas

$$(60) \quad \tau_{M,V}(v1_{(1)} \otimes m1_{(2)}) = m_{[0]} \otimes mv_{[1]} ; \quad \tau_{M,V}^{-1}(m1_{(1)} \otimes v1_{(2)}) = vS^{-1}(m_{[1]}) \otimes m_{[0]}.$$

Furthermore

$$m_{[0]}\varepsilon_t(S^{-2}(m_{[1]})) = m,$$

and  $S^{-1}(m_{[1]}) \otimes m_{[0]} \in H \otimes_s M$ .

The monoidal structure on  $\mathcal{YD}_H^H$  is given by the formula

$$\rho(m1_{(1)} \otimes n1_{(2)}) = m_{[0]} \otimes n_{[0]} \otimes m_{[1]}n_{[1]}.$$

The braiding is given by (60). The category  $\mathcal{YD}_H^H$  is isomorphic as a braided monoidal category to  $\mathcal{Z}_r(\mathcal{M}_H)$ .

Let  $M$  be a right  $H$ -module and a left  $H$ -comodule.  $M$  is a right-left Yetter-Drinfeld module if one of the three following equivalent conditions is satisfied, for all  $m \in M$  and  $h \in H$ :

1)  $\lambda(m) \in H \otimes_s M$  and

$$h_{(2)}(mh_{(1)})_{[0]} \otimes (mh_{(1)})_{[1]} = m_{[-1]}h_{(1)} \otimes m_{[0]}h_{(2)},$$

- 2)  $\lambda(mh) = S^{-1}(h_{(3)})m_{[-1]}h_{(1)} \otimes m_{[0]}h_{(2)}$ ;  
 3)  $(M, \rho)$ , with  $\rho(m) = m_{[0]} \otimes S(m_{[-1]})$  is a right-right Yetter-Drinfeld module.

The category of right-left Yetter-Drinfeld modules,  ${}^H\mathcal{YD}_H$ , is a braided monoidal category. The monoidal structure and the braiding are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(m1_{(1)} \otimes n1_{(2)}) &= m_{[-1]}n_{[-1]} \otimes m_{[0]} \otimes n_{[0]}; \\ \sigma_{M,N}(m1_{(1)} \otimes n1_{(2)}) &= nm_{[-1]} \otimes m_{[0]}.\end{aligned}$$

As a braided monoidal category,  ${}^H\mathcal{YD}_H$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{Z}_l(\mathcal{M}_H)$  and  $(\mathcal{YD}_H^H)^{\text{in}}$ .

The antipode  $S : H \rightarrow H^{\text{op}, \text{cop}}$  is an isomorphism of weak Hopf algebras. Observe that the target map of  $H^{\text{op}, \text{cop}}$  is  $\varepsilon_s$ , and that its source map is  $\varepsilon_t$ . Thus  $S$  induces an isomorphism between the monoidal categories  ${}_H\mathcal{M}$  and  ${}_{H^{\text{op}, \text{cop}}}\mathcal{M}$ . We also have a monoidal isomorphism  $F : {}_{H^{\text{op}, \text{cop}}}\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_H$ , given by

$$F(M) = M, \quad mh = h^{\text{op}, \text{cop}}m.$$

indeed, in  ${}_{H^{\text{op}, \text{cop}}}\mathcal{M}$ ,  $M \otimes_t N$  is generated by elements of the form  $1_{(2)}m \otimes 1_{(1)}n$ , and  $F(M \otimes_t N)$  is generated by elements of the form  $m1_{(2)} \otimes n1_{(1)}$ .  $F(N) \otimes_s F(M)$  is generated by elements of the form  $n1_{(1)} \otimes m1_{(2)}$ , and it follows that the switch map is an isomorphism  $F(M \otimes_t N) \rightarrow F(N) \otimes_s F(M)$ . We conclude from Proposition 1.11 that we have isomorphisms of braided monoidal categories

$${}^H_H\mathcal{YD} \cong \mathcal{Z}_l({}_H\mathcal{M}) \cong \mathcal{Z}_l({}_{H^{\text{op}, \text{cop}}}\mathcal{M}) \cong \mathcal{Z}_l(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_H) \cong \overline{\mathcal{Z}_r(\mathcal{M}_H)} \cong \overline{\mathcal{YD}_H^H}.$$

This isomorphism can be described explicitly as follows:

$$F : {}^H_H\mathcal{YD} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{YD}_H^H}, \quad F(M) = M,$$

with

$$m \cdot h = S^{-1}(h)m; \quad \rho(m) = m_{[0]} \otimes S(m_{[-1]}).$$

We summarize our results as follows:

**Theorem 2.12.** *Let  $H$  be a weak Hopf algebra with bijective antipode. Then we have the following isomorphisms of braided monoidal categories:*

$${}^H_H\mathcal{YD} \cong {}_H\mathcal{YD}^{H^{\text{in}}} \cong \overline{\mathcal{YD}_H^H} \cong \overline{{}^H\mathcal{YD}_H^{\text{in}}}.$$

### 3. YETTER-DRINFELD MODULES ARE DOI-HOPF MODULES

It was shown in [7] that Yetter-Drinfeld modules (over a classical Hopf algebra) can be considered as Doi-Hopf modules, and, a fortiori, as entwined modules, and as comodules over a coring (see [4]). Weak Doi-Hopf modules were introduced by Böhm [1], and they are special cases of weak entwined modules (see [6]), and these are in turn examples of comodules over a coring (see [4]). In this Section, we will show that Yetter-Drinfeld modules over weak Hopf algebras are special cases of weak Doi-Hopf modules. We will discuss the left-right case.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $H$  be a weak Hopf algebra with a bijective antipode. Then  $H$  is a right  $H \otimes H^{\text{op}}$ -comodule algebra, with  $H$ -coaction*

$$\rho(h) = h_{(2)} \otimes S^{-1}(h_{(1)}) \otimes h_{(3)}.$$

*Proof.* It is easy to verify that  $H$  is a right  $H \otimes H^{\text{op}}$ -comodule and that  $\rho(hk) = \rho(h)\rho(k)$ . Recall that  $H_t = \text{Im}(\varepsilon_t) = \text{Im}(\bar{\varepsilon}_t)$ . The target map of  $H^{\text{op}} \otimes H$  is  $\bar{\varepsilon}_t \otimes \varepsilon_t$ . We now have

$$\begin{aligned} 1_{[0]} \otimes (\bar{\varepsilon}_t \otimes \varepsilon_t)(1_{[1]}) &= 1_{(2)}1_{(1')} \otimes \bar{\varepsilon}_t(S^{-1}(1_{(1)})) \otimes \varepsilon_t(1_{(2')}) \\ &= 1_{(2)}1_{(1')} \otimes S^{-1}(1_{(1)}) \otimes 1_{(2')} = \rho(1), \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that  $S^{-1}(1_{(1)}) \otimes 1_{(2)} \in H_t \otimes H_t$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $H$  be a weak Hopf algebra with a bijective antipode. Then  $H$  is a left  $H^{\text{op}} \otimes H$ -module coalgebra with left action*

$$(k \otimes h) \triangleright c = hck.$$

*Proof.* We easily compute that

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon((m \otimes l)(k_{(2)} \otimes h_{(2)}))\varepsilon((k_{(1)} \otimes h_{(1)}) \triangleright c) \\ = \varepsilon(k_{(2)}m)\varepsilon(lh_{(2)})\varepsilon(h_{(1)}ck_{(1)}) \\ = \varepsilon(lhckm) = \varepsilon(((m \otimes l)(k \otimes h)) \triangleright c). \end{aligned}$$

The other conditions are easily verified.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $H$  be a weak Hopf algebra with bijective antipode. Then we have a weak Doi-Hopf datum  $(H^{\text{op}} \otimes H, H, H)$  and the categories  ${}_H\mathcal{M}(H^{\text{op}} \otimes H)^H$  and  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$  are isomorphic.*

*Proof.* The compatibility relation (35) reduces to (54).  $\square$

As we have seen in Section 1.4, weak Doi-Hopf modules are special cases of entwined modules. The entwining map  $\psi : H \otimes H \rightarrow H \otimes H$  corresponding to the weak Doi-Hopf datum  $(H^{\text{op}} \otimes H, H, H)$  is given by

$$(61) \quad \psi(h \otimes k) = h_{(2)} \otimes h_{(3)}kS^{-1}(h_{(1)}).$$

#### 4. THE DRINFELD DOUBLE

Now we consider the particular case where  $H$  is finitely generated and projective as a  $k$ -module, with finite dual basis  $\{(h_i, h_i^*) \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$ . Then  $H^*$  is also a weak Hopf algebra, in view of the selfduality of the axioms of a weak Hopf algebra. Recall that the comultiplication is given by the formula  $\langle \Delta(h^*), h \otimes k \rangle = \langle h^*, hk \rangle$ ; the counit is evaluation at 1. Also recall that  $H^*$  is an  $H$ -bimodule, with left and right  $H$ -action

$$\langle h \rightharpoonup h^* \leftharpoonup k, l \rangle = \langle h^*, klh \rangle,$$

or

$$(62) \quad h \rightharpoonup h^* \leftharpoonup k = \langle h_{(1)}^*, k \rangle \langle h_{(3)}^*, h \rangle h_{(2)}^*.$$

Using (36), we find a weak smash product structure  $(H, H^*, R)$ , with  $R : H^* \otimes H \rightarrow H \otimes H^*$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} R(h^* \otimes h) &= \sum_i \langle h^*, h_{(3)}h_iS^{-1}(h_{(1)}) \rangle h_{(2)} \otimes h_i^* \\ &= \sum_i \langle S^{-1}(h_{(1)}) \rightharpoonup h^* \leftharpoonup h_{(3)}, h_i \rangle h_{(2)} \otimes h_i^* \\ (63) \quad &= h_{(2)} \otimes \left( S^{-1}(h_{(1)}) \rightharpoonup h^* \leftharpoonup h_{(3)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

From Section 1.4, we know that  $H \#_R H^*$ , which we will also denote by  $H \bowtie H^*$ , is an associative algebra with preunit  $1 \# \varepsilon$ . Using (33), we compute the multiplication rule on  $H \bowtie H^*$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 (h \bowtie h^*)(k \bowtie k^*) &= \sum_i \langle h^*, k_{(3)} h_i S^{-1}(k_{(1)}) \rangle h k_{(2)} \bowtie h_i^* * k^* \\
 (64) \quad &= h k_{(2)} \bowtie (S^{-1}(k_{(1)}) \rightharpoonup h^* \leftharpoonup k_{(3)}) * k^* \\
 (65) \quad &= h k_{(2)} \bowtie \langle h_{(1)}^*, k_{(3)} \rangle \langle h_{(3)}^*, S^{-1}(k_{(1)}) \rangle h_{(2)}^* * k^*.
 \end{aligned}$$

We have a projection  $p : H \bowtie H^* \rightarrow H \bowtie H^*$ ,

$$p(h \bowtie h^*) = (1 \bowtie \varepsilon)(h \bowtie h^*) = (h \bowtie h^*)(1 \bowtie \varepsilon) = (h \bowtie h^*)(1 \bowtie \varepsilon)^2,$$

and  $D(H) = \overline{H \bowtie H^*} = (H \bowtie H^*) / \text{Ker } p$  is a  $k$ -algebra with unit  $[1 \bowtie \varepsilon]$ , which we call the *Drinfeld double* of  $H$ .  $D(H)$  is also isomorphic to  $\underline{H \bowtie H^*} = \text{Im}(p)$ , which is a  $k$ -algebra with unit  $(1 \bowtie \varepsilon)^2$ . Observe that the multiplication rule (65) is the same as in [1, 16]. We show that the ideal  $J$  that is divided out in [1, 16] is equal to  $\text{Ker } p$ , and this will imply that  $D(H)$  is equal to the Drinfeld double introduced in [1, 16]. We first need some Lemmas.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $H$  a weak bialgebra. For all  $h^* \in H^*$ ,  $y \in H_s$  and  $z \in H_t$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (66) \quad h^* * (y \rightharpoonup \varepsilon) &= \langle h_{(2)}^*, y \rangle h_{(1)}^* = y \rightharpoonup h^* \\
 (67) \quad h^* * (\varepsilon \leftharpoonup y) &= \langle h_{(1)}^*, y \rangle h_{(2)}^* = h^* \leftharpoonup y \\
 (68) \quad (z \rightharpoonup \varepsilon) * h^* &= \langle h_{(2)}^*, z \rangle h_{(1)}^* = z \rightharpoonup h^* \\
 (69) \quad (\varepsilon \leftharpoonup z) * h^* &= \langle h_{(1)}^*, z \rangle h_{(2)}^* = h^* \leftharpoonup z
 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We only prove (68). For all  $h \in H$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle (z \rightharpoonup \varepsilon) * h^*, h \rangle &= \langle \varepsilon, h_{(1)} z \rangle \langle h^*, h_{(2)} \rangle = \langle \varepsilon, h_{(1)} 1_{(1)} z \rangle \langle h^*, h_{(2)} 1_{(2)} \rangle \\
 &= \langle \varepsilon * h^*, h z \rangle = \langle h^*, h z \rangle = \langle z \rightharpoonup h^*, h \rangle = \langle h_{(2)}^*, z \rangle \langle h_{(1)}^*, h \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $H$  be a weak Hopf algebra with bijective antipode. For all  $y \in H_s$ ,  $z \in H_t$ , we have*

$$(70) \quad S^{-1}(z) \rightharpoonup \varepsilon = z \rightharpoonup \varepsilon \text{ and } \varepsilon \leftharpoonup y = \varepsilon \leftharpoonup S^{-1}(y).$$

*Proof.* For all  $h \in H$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle S^{-1}(z) \rightharpoonup \varepsilon, h \rangle &= \varepsilon(h S^{-1}(z)) \stackrel{(2)}{=} \varepsilon(h 1_{(1)}) \varepsilon(1_{(2)} S^{-1}(z)) \stackrel{1.5}{=} \varepsilon(h 1_{(1)}) \varepsilon(z S(1_{(2)})) \\
 &\stackrel{(21)}{=} \varepsilon(h_{(1)}) \varepsilon(z \varepsilon_s(h_{(2)})) \stackrel{(8)}{=} \varepsilon(\varepsilon_s(h) z) \stackrel{(5)}{=} \varepsilon(h z) = \langle z \rightharpoonup \varepsilon, h \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

The second statement can be proved in a similar way. □

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $H$  be a finitely generated projective weak Hopf algebra. Then  $\text{Ker}(p)$  is the  $k$ -linear span  $J$  of elements of the form*

$$A = h z \bowtie h^* - h \bowtie (z \rightharpoonup \varepsilon) * h^* \text{ and } B = h y \bowtie h^* - h \bowtie (\varepsilon \leftharpoonup y) * h^*,$$

where  $h \in H$ ,  $h^* \in H^*$ ,  $y \in H_s$  and  $z \in H_t$ .

*Proof.*  $A \in \text{Ker}(p)$  since

$$\begin{aligned}
(1 \bowtie \varepsilon)(hz \bowtie h^*) &\stackrel{(65)}{=} h_{(2)}1_{(2)} \bowtie \varepsilon_{(2)} * h^* \langle \varepsilon_{(1)}, h_{(3)}1_{(3)} \rangle \langle \varepsilon_{(3)}, S^{-1}(h_{(1)}1_{(1)}z) \rangle \\
&= h_{(2)} \bowtie \varepsilon_{(2)} * h^* \langle \varepsilon_{(1)}, h_{(3)} \rangle \langle \varepsilon_{(3)}, S^{-1}(z) \rangle \langle \varepsilon_{(4)}, S^{-1}(h_{(1)}) \rangle \\
&\stackrel{(66)}{=} h_{(2)} \bowtie (\varepsilon_{(2)} * (S^{-1}(z) \rightarrow \varepsilon) * h^*) \langle \varepsilon_{(1)}, h_{(3)} \rangle \langle \varepsilon_{(3)}, S^{-1}(h_{(1)}) \rangle \\
&\stackrel{(65)}{=} (1 \bowtie \varepsilon)(h \bowtie ((S^{-1}(z) \rightarrow \varepsilon) * h^*)) \stackrel{(70)}{=} (1 \bowtie \varepsilon)(h \bowtie (z \rightarrow \varepsilon) * h^*).
\end{aligned}$$

In a similar way,  $B \in \text{Ker}(p)$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
(1 \bowtie \varepsilon)(hy \bowtie h^*) &\stackrel{(65)}{=} (h_{(2)}1_{(2)} \bowtie \varepsilon_{(2)}^* * h^*) \langle \varepsilon_{(1)}, h_{(3)}1_{(3)}y \rangle \langle \varepsilon_{(3)}, S^{-1}(h_{(1)}1_{(1)}) \rangle \\
&= (h_{(2)} \bowtie \varepsilon_{(2)}^* * h^*) \langle \varepsilon_{(1)}, h_{(3)} \rangle \langle \varepsilon_{(2)}, y \rangle \langle \varepsilon_{(4)}, S^{-1}(h_{(1)}) \rangle \\
&\stackrel{(67)}{=} (h_{(2)} \bowtie (\varepsilon_{(2)} \leftarrow y) * h^*) \langle \varepsilon_{(1)}, h_{(3)} \rangle \langle \varepsilon_{(3)}, S^{-1}(h_{(1)}) \rangle \\
&\stackrel{(65)}{=} (1 \bowtie \varepsilon)(h \bowtie (\varepsilon \leftarrow y) * h^*).
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $J \subset \text{Ker}(p)$ . We now compute for all  $h \in H$  and  $h^* \in H^*$  that

$$(h \bowtie h^*)(1 \bowtie \varepsilon) \stackrel{(65)}{=} (h1_{(2)}1_{(1')} \bowtie h_{(2)}^*) \langle h_{(1)}^*, 1_{(2')} \rangle \langle h_{(3)}^*, S^{-1}(1_{(1)}) \rangle,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left( h \bowtie (S^{-1}(1_{(2)}) \rightarrow \varepsilon) * (\varepsilon \leftarrow 1_{(1')}) * h_{(2)}^* \right) \langle h_{(1)}^*, 1_{(2')} \rangle \langle h_{(3)}^*, S^{-1}(1_{(1)}) \rangle \\
&\stackrel{(62)}{=} \left( h \bowtie \varepsilon_{(1)} * \varepsilon_{(2')} * h_{(2)}^* \right) \langle \varepsilon_{(2)}, S^{-1}(1_{(2)}) \rangle \langle \varepsilon_{(1')}, 1_{(1')} \rangle \\
&\quad \langle h_{(1)}^*, 1_{(2')} \rangle \langle h_{(3)}^*, S^{-1}(1_{(1)}) \rangle \\
&= \left( h \bowtie \varepsilon_{(1)} * \varepsilon_{(2')} * h_{(2)}^* \right) \langle \varepsilon_{(1')}, 1 \rangle \langle \varepsilon_{(2)} * h_{(3)}^*, S^{-1}(1) \rangle \\
&= \left( h \bowtie \varepsilon_{(1)} * h_{(1)}^* \right) \langle \varepsilon_{(2)} * h_{(2)}^*, 1 \rangle = h \bowtie (\varepsilon * h^*) = h \bowtie h^*.
\end{aligned}$$

Observing that

$$\begin{aligned}
&hzy \bowtie h^* - h \bowtie ((S^{-1}(z) \rightarrow \varepsilon) * (\varepsilon \leftarrow y) * h^*) \\
&= hzy \bowtie h^* - hzy \bowtie (\varepsilon \leftarrow y) * h^* \\
&+ hzy \bowtie (\varepsilon \leftarrow y) * h^* - h \bowtie ((S^{-1}(z) \rightarrow \varepsilon) * (\varepsilon \leftarrow y) * h^*) \in J,
\end{aligned}$$

it follows that  $(h \bowtie h^*)(1 \bowtie \varepsilon) - (h \bowtie h^*) \in J$ , for all  $h \in H$  and  $h^* \in H^*$ . If  $x \in \text{Ker}(p)$ , then  $x(1 \bowtie \varepsilon) = 0$ , and  $x = x - x(1 \bowtie \varepsilon) \in J$ . We conclude that  $\text{Ker}(p) \subset J$ , finishing our proof.  $\square$

We now recall the following results from [17]. On  $H^* \otimes H$ , there exists an associative multiplication

$$\begin{aligned}
(h^* \otimes h)(k^* \otimes k) &= k_{(2)}^* h^* \otimes h_{(2)} k \langle S(h_{(1)}), k_{(1)}^* \rangle \langle h_{(3)}, k_{(3)}^* \rangle \\
&= (h_{(3)} \rightarrow k^* \leftarrow S(h_{(1)})) * h^* \otimes h_{(2)} k.
\end{aligned}$$

The  $k$ -module  $I$  generated by elements of the form

$$A' = h^* \otimes hz - (\varepsilon \leftarrow z)h^* \otimes h \text{ and } B' = h^* \otimes yh - (y \rightarrow \varepsilon)h^* \otimes h$$

is a two-sided ideal of  $H^* \otimes H$ . The quotient  $D'(H) = (H^* \otimes H)/I$  is an algebra with unit element  $\varepsilon \otimes 1$ . It is a weak Hopf algebra, with the following comultiplication,



counit and antipode:

$$(71) \quad \Delta[h^* \otimes h] = [h_{(1)}^* \otimes h_{(1)}] \otimes [h_{(2)}^* \otimes h_{(2)}]$$

$$(72) \quad \varepsilon[h^* \otimes h] = \langle h^*, \varepsilon_t(h) \rangle$$

$$(73) \quad S[h^* \otimes h] = [S^{-1}(h_{(2)}^*) \otimes S(h_{(2)})] \langle h_{(1)}^*, h_{(1)} \rangle \langle h_{(3)}^*, S(h_{(3)}) \rangle$$

**Proposition 4.4.** *The  $k$ -linear isomorphism*

$$f : H \bowtie H^* \rightarrow H^* \otimes H, \quad f(h \bowtie h^*) = h^* \otimes S^{-1}(h)$$

*is anti-multiplicative, and induces an algebra isomorphism  $f : D(H) \rightarrow D'(H)^{\text{op}}$ .*

*Proof.* Let us first prove that  $f$  reverses the multiplication. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} f(k \bowtie k^*) f(h \bowtie h^*) &= (k^* \otimes S^{-1}(k)) (h^* \otimes S^{-1}(h)) \\ &= (S^{-1}(k_{(1)}) \rightarrow h^* \leftarrow k_{(3)}) * k^* \otimes S^{-1}(k_{(2)}) S^{-1}(h) \\ &= f((h \bowtie h^*)(k \bowtie k^*)). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 4.2, we easily compute that  $f(J) = I$ , and the result follows.  $\square$

Let us now define a comultiplication, counit and antipode on  $D(H)$ , in such a way that  $f : D(H) \rightarrow D'(H)$  is an isomorphism of Hopf algebras. Obviously, the comultiplication is given by the formula

$$(74) \quad \Delta[h \bowtie h^*] = [h_{(2)} \bowtie h_{(1)}^*] \otimes [h_{(1)} \bowtie h_{(2)}^*].$$

The counit is computed as follows:

$$(75) \quad \varepsilon[h \bowtie h^*] = \varepsilon[h^* \otimes S^{-1}(h)] \stackrel{(72)}{=} \langle h^*, \varepsilon_t(S^{-1}(h)) \rangle \stackrel{(15)}{=} \langle h^*, 1_{(2)} \rangle \langle \varepsilon, h 1_{(1)} \rangle.$$

Since the antipode of  $H$  is the inverse of the antipode of  $H^{\text{op}}$ , the antipode of  $D'(H)$  is transported to the inverse of the antipode of  $D(H)$ . We find

$$\begin{aligned} S^{-1}[h \bowtie h^*] &= (f^{-1} \circ S \circ f)[h \bowtie h^*] = f^{-1}(S[h^* \otimes S^{-1}(h)]) \\ &= f^{-1}[S^{-1}(h_{(2)}^*) \otimes h_{(2)}] \langle h_{(1)}^*, S^{-1}(h_{(3)}) \rangle \langle h_{(3)}^*, h_{(1)} \rangle \\ (76) \quad &= [S(h_{(2)}) \bowtie S^{-1}(h_{(2)}^*)] \langle h_{(1)}^*, S^{-1}(h_{(3)}) \rangle \langle h_{(3)}^*, h_{(1)} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

The antipode  $S$  is then given by the formula

$$(77) \quad S[h \bowtie h^*] = [S^{-1}(h_{(2)}) \bowtie S(h_{(2)}^*)] \langle h_{(1)}^*, S^{-1}(h_{(3)}) \rangle \langle h_{(3)}^*, h_{(1)} \rangle$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} S(S^{-1}[h \bowtie h^*]) &= [h_{(3)} \bowtie h_{(3)}^*] \langle h_{(1)}^*, S^{-1}(h_{(5)}) \rangle \langle h_{(2)}^*, h_{(4)} \rangle \langle h_{(5)}^*, h_{(1)} \rangle \langle h_{(4)}^*, S^{-1}(h_{(2)}) \rangle \\ &= [h_{(3)} \bowtie h_{(2)}^*] \langle h_{(1)}^*, S^{-1}(h_{(5)}) h_{(4)} \rangle \langle h_{(2)}^*, S^{-1}(h_{(2)}) h_{(1)} \rangle \\ &= [h_{(2)} \bowtie h_{(2)}^*] \langle h_{(1)}^*, \varepsilon_t(S^{-1}(h_{(3)})) \rangle \langle h_{(3)}^*, \varepsilon_t(S^{-1}(h_{(1)})) \rangle \\ &= \varepsilon([h \bowtie h^*]_{(1)}) [h \bowtie h^*]_{(2)} \varepsilon([h \bowtie h^*]_{(3)}) = [h \bowtie h^*]. \end{aligned}$$

Similar arguments show that  $S^{-1}(S[h \bowtie h^*]) = [h \bowtie h^*]$ .

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let  $H$  be a weak Hopf algebra with bijective antipode, which is finitely generated and projective as a  $k$ -module. Then  $D(H)$  is a weak Hopf algebra, with multiplication, counit and antipode given by the formulas (74, 75, 76). As a weak Hopf algebra,  $D(H)$  is isomorphic to  $D'(H)^{\text{op}}$ .*

**Proposition 4.6.** *Let  $H$  be a weak Hopf algebra with bijective antipode, which is finitely generated and projective as a  $k$ -module. The functor*

$$F : {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H \rightarrow {}_{D(H)}\overline{\mathcal{M}}, \quad F(M) = M,$$

*with*

$$(h \bowtie h^*)m = \langle h^*, m_{[1]} \rangle h m_{[0]},$$

*for all  $h \in H$ ,  $h^* \in H^*$  and  $m \in M$  is an isomorphism of monoidal categories.*

*Proof.* We already know (see (37)) that  $F$  is an isomorphism of categories, so we only have to show that  $F$  preserves the product. Take  $M, N \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ . The right  $H$ -coaction on  $M \otimes_t N$  is given by the formula (use (48) and (52)):

$$\rho(1_{(1)}m \otimes 1_{(2)}n) = m_{[0]} \otimes n_{[0]} \otimes n_{[1]}m_{[1]},$$

hence the left  $D(H)$ -action on  $F(M \otimes_t N)$  is the following

$$(78) \quad [h \bowtie h^*](1_{(1)}m \otimes 1_{(2)}n) = \langle h^*, n_{[1]}m_{[1]} \rangle h_{(1)}m_{[0]} \otimes h_{(2)}n_{[0]}.$$

We now compute

$$F(N) \otimes_t F(M) = \{[1 \bowtie \varepsilon]X \mid X \in F(N) \otimes F(M)\}.$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} [1 \bowtie \varepsilon]_{(1)}n \otimes [1 \bowtie \varepsilon]_{(2)}m &= \langle \varepsilon_{(1)}, n_{[1]} \rangle 1_{(2)}n_{[0]} \otimes \langle \varepsilon_{(2)}, m_{[1]} \rangle 1_{(1)}m_{[0]} \\ &= \langle \varepsilon, n_{[1]}m_{[1]} \rangle 1_{(2)}n_{[0]} \otimes 1_{(1)}m_{[0]}. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that the switch map  $\tau : M \otimes N \rightarrow N \otimes M$  induces an isomorphism  $\tau : F(M \otimes_t N) \rightarrow F(N) \otimes_t F(M)$  of  $k$ -modules. Indeed, take  $1_{(1)}m \otimes 1_{(2)}n \in M \otimes_t N$ . Since  $M \otimes_t N$  is a Yetter-Drinfeld module, we have that  $\varepsilon(n_{[1]}m_{[1]})m_{[0]} \otimes n_{[0]} = 1_{(1)}m \otimes 1_{(2)}n$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(1_{(1)}m \otimes 1_{(2)}n) &= 1_{(2)}n \otimes 1_{(1)}m = 1_{(2')}1_{(2)}n \otimes 1_{(1')}1_{(1)}m \\ &= \varepsilon(n_{[1]}m_{[1]})1_{(2)}n_{[0]} \otimes 1_{(1)}m_{[0]} \\ &= [1 \bowtie \varepsilon]_{(1)}n \otimes [1 \bowtie \varepsilon]_{(2)}m \in F(N) \otimes_t F(M). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely,

$$\tau([1 \bowtie \varepsilon]_{(1)}n \otimes [1 \bowtie \varepsilon]_{(2)}m) = \varepsilon(n_{[1]}m_{[1]})1_{(1)}m_{[0]} \otimes 1_{(2)}n_{[0]} \in F(M \otimes_t N).$$

Let us now show that  $\tau$  is left  $D(H)$ -linear. To this end, we compute the left  $D(H)$ -action on  $F(N) \otimes_t F(M)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} [h \bowtie h^*]\tau(1_{(1)}m \otimes 1_{(2)}n) &= [h \bowtie h^*](1_{(2)}n \otimes 1_{(1)}m) \\ &= [h_{(2)} \bowtie h_{(1)}^*](1_{(2)}n) \otimes [h_{(1)} \bowtie h_{(2)}^*](1_{(1)}m) \\ &\stackrel{(55)}{=} \langle h_{(1)}^*, n_{[1]}S^{-1}(1_{(2)}) \rangle h_{(2)}n_{[0]} \otimes \langle h_{(2)}^*, 1_{(1)}m_{[1]} \rangle h_{(1)}m_{[0]} \\ &= \langle h^*, n_{[1]}S^{-1}(1_{(2)})1_{(1)}m_{[1]} \rangle h_{(2)}n_{[0]} \otimes h_{(1)}m_{[0]} \\ &\stackrel{(78)}{=} \tau([h \bowtie h^*](1_{(1)}m \otimes 1_{(2)}n)) \end{aligned}$$

It also follows that  $F(H_t)$  is a unit object in  ${}_{D(H)}\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ . Since the unit object in a monoidal category is unique up to automorphism, we conclude that the target space of  $D(H)_t$  is isomorphic to  $H_t$ . This can also be seen as follows: in [17], it is shown that  $D'(H)_t = [\varepsilon \otimes H_t] \cong H_t$ . Since the target spaces of a weak Hopf algebra and its opposite coincide, it follows that  $D(H)_t \cong H_t$ .  $\square$

## 5. DUALITY

Let  $H$  be a weak Hopf algebra with bijective antipode, and  ${}_H\text{Rep}$  the category of left  $H$ -modules  $M$  which are finitely generated projective as a  $k$ -module. Let  $M \in {}_H\text{Rep}$ , and let  $\{(n_i, n_i^*) \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$  be a finite dual basis of  $M$ . From [17], we recall the following result. We refer to [12] for the definition of duality in a monoidal category.

**Proposition 5.1.** *The category  ${}_H\text{Rep}$  has left duality. The left dual of  $M \in {}_H\text{Rep}$  is  $M^* = \text{Hom}(M, k)$  with left  $H$ -action defined by*

$$(79) \quad \langle h \cdot m^*, m \rangle = \langle m^*, S(h)m \rangle,$$

for all  $h \in H$ ,  $m \in M$  and  $m^* \in M^*$ . The evaluation map  $\text{ev}_M : M^* \otimes_t M \rightarrow H_t$  and the coevaluation map  $\text{coev}_M : H_t \rightarrow M \otimes_t M^*$  are defined as follows:

$$\text{ev}_M(1_{(1)} \cdot m^* \otimes 1_{(2)} m) = \langle m^*, 1_{(1)} m \rangle 1_{(2)};$$

$$\text{coev}_M(z) = z \cdot (\sum_i n_i \otimes n_i^*).$$

Let  $M$  be a finitely generated projective left  $H$ -comodule. Then  $M^*$  is also a left  $H$ -comodule, with left  $H$ -coaction  $\lambda : M^* \rightarrow H \otimes M^*$  given by

$$\lambda(m^*) = \sum_i \langle m^*, n_{i[0]} \rangle S^{-1}(n_{i[-1]}) \otimes n_i^*.$$

The definition of  $\lambda$  can also be stated as follows:  $\lambda(m^*) = m_{[-1]}^* \otimes m_{[0]}^*$  if and only if

$$(80) \quad \langle m_{[0]}^*, m \rangle S(m_{[-1]}^*) = \langle m^*, m_{[0]} \rangle m_{[-1]},$$

for all  $m \in M$ .

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $M$  be a finitely generated projective left-left Yetter-Drinfeld module over the weak Hopf algebra  $H$ . Then  $M^*$  with  $H$ -action and  $H$ -coaction given by (79) and (80) is also a left-left Yetter-Drinfeld module.*

*Proof.* We have to show that

$$\lambda(h \cdot m^*) = \sum_i \langle m^*, S(h)n_{i[0]} \rangle S^{-1}(n_{i[-1]}) \otimes n_i^*$$

equals

$$h_{(1)} m_{[-1]}^* S(h_{(3)}) \otimes h_{[2]} m_{[-1]}^* = \sum_i \langle m^*, n_{i[0]} \rangle h_{(1)} S^{-1}(n_{i[-1]}) S(h_{(3)}) \otimes (h_{(2)} \cdot n_i^*).$$

It suffices to show that both terms coincide after we evaluate the second tensor factor at an arbitrary  $m \in M$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_i \langle m^*, n_{i[0]} \rangle h_{(1)} S^{-1}(n_{i[-1]}) S(h_{(3)}) \langle n_i^*, S(h_{(2)}) m \rangle \\ &= \langle m^*, (S(h_{(2)}) m)_{[0]} \rangle h_{(1)} S^{-1} \left( (S(h_{(2)}) m)_{[-1]} \right) S(h_{(3)}) \\ &\stackrel{(41)}{=} \langle m^*, S(h_{(3)}) m_{[0]} \rangle h_{(1)} S^{-1} \left( S(h_{(4)}) m_{[-1]} S^2(h_{(2)}) \right) S(h_{(5)}) \\ &= \langle m^*, S(h_{(3)}) m_{[0]} \rangle h_{(1)} S(h_{(2)}) S^{-1}(m_{[-1]}) h_{(4)} S(h_{(5)}) \\ &= \langle m^*, S(h_{(2)}) m_{[0]} \rangle \varepsilon_t(h_{(1)}) S^{-1}(m_{[-1]}) \varepsilon_t(h_{(3)}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \stackrel{(21)}{=} \langle m^*, S(1_{(2)}h_{(1)})m_{[0]} \rangle S(1_{(1)})S^{-1}(m_{[-1]})\varepsilon_t(h_{(2)}) \\
& = \langle m^*, S(h_{(1)})1_{(1)}m_{[0]} \rangle 1_{(2)}S^{-1}(m_{[-1]})\varepsilon_t(h_{(2)}) \\
& \stackrel{(3,44)}{=} \langle m^*, S(1_{(1)}h)m_{[0]} \rangle S^{-1}(m_{[-1]})1_{(2)} \\
& = \langle m^*, S(h)S(1_{(1)})m_{[0]} \rangle S^{-1}(S(1_{(2)})m_{[-1]}) \\
& = \langle m^*, S(h)1_{(2)}m_{[0]} \rangle S^{-1}(1_{(1)}m_{[-1]}) \\
& \stackrel{(38)}{=} \langle m^*, S(h)m_{[0]} \rangle S^{-1}(m_{[-1]}) \\
& = \sum_i \langle m^*, S(h)n_{i[0]} \rangle S^{-1}(n_{i[-1]}^*) \langle n_i^*, m \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Proposition 5.3.** *The category of finitely generated projective left-left Yetter-Drinfeld modules has left duality.*

*Proof.* In view of the previous results, it suffices to show that the evaluation map  $\text{ev}_M$  and the coevaluation map  $\text{coev}_M$  are left  $H$ -colinear, for every finitely generated projective left-left Yetter-Drinfeld module  $M$ . Let us first show that  $\text{ev}_M$  is left  $H$ -colinear.

$$\begin{aligned}
& (H \otimes \text{ev}_M)(\lambda(1_{(1)} \cdot m^* \otimes 1_{(2)}m)) \\
& = m_{[-1]}^* m_{[-1]} \otimes \langle m_{[0]}^*, 1_{(1)}m_{[0]} \rangle 1_{(2)} \\
& \stackrel{(80)}{=} \langle m^*, (1_{(1)}m_{[0]})_{[0]} \rangle S^{-1}((1_{(1)}m_{[0]})_{[-1]})m_{[-1]} \otimes 1_{(2)} \\
& \stackrel{(43)}{=} \langle m^*, m_{[0]} \rangle 1_{(1)}S^{-1}(m_{[-1]})m_{[-2]} \otimes 1_{(2)} \\
& = \langle m^*, m_{[0]} \rangle 1_{(1)}\varepsilon_t(S^{-1}(m_{[-1]})) \otimes 1_{(2)} \\
& \stackrel{(44)}{=} \langle m^*, 1_{(1')}m_{[0]} \rangle 1_{(1)}\varepsilon_t(1_{(2')}S^{-1}(m_{[-1]})) \otimes 1_{(2)} \\
& \stackrel{(10)}{=} \langle m^*, 1_{(1')}m_{[0]} \rangle 1_{(1)}1_{(2')}\varepsilon_t(S^{-1}(m_{[-1]})) \otimes 1_{(2)} \\
& \stackrel{(25)}{=} \langle m^*, 1_{(1')}S^{-1}(\varepsilon_t(S^{-1}(m_{[-1]})))m_{[0]} \rangle 1_{(1)}1_{(2')} \otimes 1_{(2)} \\
& \stackrel{(22)}{=} \langle m^*, 1_{(1')}\varepsilon_s(S^{-2}(m_{[-1]}))m_{[0]} \rangle 1_{(1)}1_{(2')} \otimes 1_{(2)} \\
& \stackrel{(1,45)}{=} \langle m^*, 1_{(1)}m \rangle 1_{(2)} \otimes 1_{(3)} \stackrel{(49)}{=} \lambda(\langle m^*, 1_{(1)}m \rangle 1_{(2)}) \\
& = \lambda(\text{ev}_M(1_{(1)} \cdot m^* \otimes 1_{(2)}m)).
\end{aligned}$$

To prove that  $\text{coev}_M$  is left  $H$ -colinear, we have to show that, for all  $z \in H_t$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda(\text{coev}_M(z)) & = \sum_i \lambda(1_{(1)}zn_i \otimes 1_{(2)} \cdot n_i^*) \\
& = \sum_i (1_{(1)}zn_i)_{[-1]} (1_{(2)} \cdot n_i^*)_{[-1]} \otimes (1_{(1)}zn_i)_{[0]} \otimes (1_{(2)} \cdot n_i^*)_{[0]}
\end{aligned}$$

equals

$$(H \otimes \text{coev}_M)(\lambda(z)) = (H \otimes \text{coev}_M)(1_{(1)}z \otimes 1_{(2)}) = \sum_i 1_{(1)}z \otimes 1_{(2)}n_i \otimes 1_{(3)} \cdot n_i^*.$$

It suffices to show that both terms coincide after we evaluate the third tensor factor at an arbitrary  $m \in M$ . Indeed

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_i (1_{(1)}zn_i)_{[-1]} (1_{(2)} \cdot n_i^*)_{[-1]} \otimes (1_{(1)}zn_i)_{[0]} \langle (1_{(2)} \cdot n_i^*)_{[0]}, m \rangle \\
& \stackrel{(80)}{=} \sum_i (1_{(1)}zn_i)_{[-1]} \langle 1_{(2)} \cdot n_i^*, m_{[0]} \rangle S^{-1}(m_{[-1]}) \otimes (1_{(1)}zn_i)_{[0]} \\
& = \sum_i (1_{(1)}zn_i)_{[-1]} \langle n_i^*, S(1_{(2)})m_{[0]} \rangle S^{-1}(m_{[-1]}) \otimes (1_{(1)}zn_i)_{[0]} \\
& = (1_{(1)}zS(1_{(2)})m_{[0]})_{[-1]} S^{-1}(m_{[-1]}) \otimes (1_{(1)}zS(1_{(2)})m_{[0]})_{[0]}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \stackrel{(6,41)}{=} 1_{(1)}zm_{[-1]}S(1_{(3)})S^{-1}(m_{[-2]}) \otimes 1_{(2)}m_{[0]} \\
& = 1_{(1)}zm_{[-1]}S(1_{(2')})S^{-1}(m_{[-2]}) \otimes 1_{(2)}1_{(1')}m_{[0]} \\
& \stackrel{(42)}{=} 1_{(1)}zm_{[-1]}S^{-1}(m_{[-2]}) \otimes 1_{(2)}m_{[0]} \\
& = 1_{(1)}zS^{-1}(\varepsilon_t(m_{[-1]})) \otimes 1_{(2)}m_{[0]} \\
& \stackrel{(25)}{=} 1_{(1)}z \otimes 1_{(2)}\varepsilon_t(m_{[-1]})m_{[0]} \stackrel{(40)}{=} 1_{(1)}z \otimes 1_{(2)}m \\
& = 1_{(1)}z \otimes 1_{(2)}S(1_{(3)})m = \sum_i 1_{(1)}z \otimes 1_{(2)}n_i \langle n_i^*, S(1_{(3)})m \rangle \\
& = \sum_i 1_{(1)}z \otimes 1_{(2)}n_i \langle 1_{(3)} \cdot n_i^*, m \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

as needed.  $\square$

## 6. APPENDIX. WEAK BIALGEBRAS AND BIALGEBROIDS

In [21], Yetter-Drinfeld modules over a  $\times_R$ -bialgebra (see [24]) are introduced, and it is shown that the weak center of the category of left modules is isomorphic to the category of Yetter-Drinfeld modules. The notion of  $\times_R$ -bialgebra is equivalent to the notion of  $R$ -bialgebroid, we refer to [3] for a detailed discussion. So we can consider Yetter-Drinfeld modules over bialgebroids.

A weak bialgebra  $H$  can be viewed as a bialgebroid over the target space  $H_t$ ; this was shown in [9] in the weak Hopf algebra case, and generalized to the weak bialgebra case in [22]. The aim of this Section is to make clear that Yetter-Drinfeld modules over  $H$  considered as a weak bialgebra coincide with Yetter-Drinfeld modules over  $H$ -considered as a bialgebroid.

To this end, we first recall the definition of a bialgebroid, as introduced by Lu [14]. Let  $k$  be a commutative ring, and  $R$  a  $k$ -algebra. An  $R \otimes R^{\text{op}}$ -ring is a pair  $(H, i)$ , with  $H$  a  $k$ -algebra and  $i : R \otimes R^{\text{op}} \rightarrow H$ . Giving  $i$  is equivalent to giving algebra maps  $s_H : R \rightarrow H$  and  $t_H : R \rightarrow H^{\text{op}}$  satisfying  $s_H(a)t_H(b) = t_H(b)s_H(a)$ , for all  $a, b \in R$ . We then have that  $i(a \otimes b) = s_H(a)t_H(b)$ . Restriction of scalars makes  $H$  into a left  $R \otimes R^{\text{op}}$ -module, and an  $R$ -bimodule:

$$a \cdot h \cdot b = s_H(a)t_H(b)h.$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
H \times_R H &= \left\{ \sum_i h_i \otimes_R k_i \in H \otimes_R H \right. \\
&\quad \left. \mid \sum_i h_i t_H(a) \otimes_R k_i = \sum_i h_i \otimes_R k_i s_H(a), \text{ for all } a \in R \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to show that  $H \times_R H$  is a  $k$ -subalgebra of  $H \otimes_R H$ .

Recall that an  $R$ -coring is a triple  $(H, \tilde{\Delta}, \tilde{\varepsilon})$ , with  $H$  an  $R$ -bimodule and  $\tilde{\Delta} : H \rightarrow H \otimes_R H$  and  $\tilde{\varepsilon} : H \rightarrow R$   $R$ -bimodule maps satisfying the usual coassociativity and counit properties; we refer to [4] for a detailed discussion of corings.

**Definition 6.1.** [14] A left  $R$ -bialgebroid is a fivetuple  $(H, s_H, t_H, \tilde{\Delta}, \tilde{\varepsilon})$  satisfying the following conditions.

- (1)  $(H, \tilde{\Delta}, \tilde{\varepsilon})$  is an  $R$ -coring;
- (2)  $(H, m \circ (s_H \otimes t_H) = i)$  is an  $R \otimes R^{\text{op}}$ -ring;
- (3)  $\text{Im}(\tilde{\Delta}) \subset H \times_R H$ ;
- (4)  $\tilde{\Delta} : H \rightarrow H \times_R H$  is an algebra map,  $\tilde{\varepsilon}(1_H) = 1_R$  and

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}(gh) = \tilde{\varepsilon}(gs_H(\tilde{\varepsilon}(h))) = \tilde{\varepsilon}(gt_H(\tilde{\varepsilon}(h))),$$

for all  $g, h \in H$ .

Take two left  $H$ -modules  $M$  and  $N$ ; then  $M$  and  $N$  are  $R$ -bimodules, by restriction of scalars.  $M \otimes_R N$  is a left  $H$ -module, with

$$h \cdot (m \otimes_R n) = h_{(1)}m \otimes_R h_{(2)}n.$$

Also  $R$  is a left  $H$ -module, with

$$h \cdot r = \tilde{\varepsilon}(hs_H(r)) = \tilde{\varepsilon}(ht_H(r)).$$

$({}_H\mathcal{M}, \otimes_R, R)$  is a monoidal category, and the restriction of scalars functor  ${}_H\mathcal{M} \rightarrow {}_R\mathcal{M}_R$  is strictly monoidal; this can be used to reformulate the definition of a bialgebroid (see [3, 20, 23]).

In [21, Sec. 4], left-left Yetter-Drinfeld modules over  $H$  are introduced, and it is shown that  $\mathcal{W}_l({}_H\mathcal{M})$  is isomorphic to the category of Yetter-Drinfeld modules. According to [21], a left-left Yetter-Drinfeld  $H$ -module is a left comodule  $M$  over the coring  $H$ , together with a left  $H$ -action on  $M$  such that the underlying left  $R$ -actions coincide, and such that

$$(81) \quad h_{(1)}m_{[-1]} \otimes_R h_{(2)} \cdot m_{[0]} = (h_{(1)} \cdot m)_{[-1]} h_{(2)} \otimes_R (h_{(1)} \cdot m)_{[0]}$$

holds in  $H \otimes_R M$ , for all  $h \in H$  and  $m \in M$ .

Let  $H$  be a weak bialgebra, and consider the maps

$$\begin{aligned} s_H : H_t &\xrightarrow{\subset} H; \\ t_H = \bar{\varepsilon}_{s|H_t} : H_t &\rightarrow H_s \subset H; \\ \tilde{\Delta} = \text{can} \circ \Delta : H &\rightarrow H \otimes H \xrightarrow{\text{can}} H \otimes_{H_t} H; \\ \tilde{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon_t : H &\rightarrow H_t. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $(H, s_H, t_H, \tilde{\Delta}, \tilde{\varepsilon})$  is a left  $H_t$ -bialgebroid. The fact that  $\text{Im}(\tilde{\Delta}) \subset H \times_{H_t} H$  follows from the separability of  $H_t$  as a  $k$ -algebra (cf. Proposition 1.3).

We have seen in Section 1.1 that, for any two left  $H$ -modules  $M$  and  $N$ , we have an isomorphism  $\bar{\pi} : M \otimes_{H_t} N \rightarrow M \otimes_t N$ . This entails that the monoidal categories  $({}_H\mathcal{M}, \otimes_t, H_t)$  and  $({}_H\mathcal{M}, \otimes_{H_t}, H_t)$  are isomorphic, and a fortiori, their weak left centers are isomorphic categories. Consequently, the two corresponding categories of Yetter-Drinfeld modules are isomorphic. This can also be seen directly, comparing the definitions in Section 2 and (81).

### Acknowledgment

We thank Tomasz Brzeziński and the referee for their useful comments, and Adriana Nenciu for sending us her paper [16].

### REFERENCES

- [1] G. Böhm, Doi-Hopf modules over weak Hopf algebras, *Comm. Algebra* **28** (2000), 4687–4698.
- [2] G. Böhm, F. Nill, K. Szlachányi, Weak Hopf algebras I. Integral theory and  $C^*$ -structure, *J. Algebra* **221** (1999), 385–438.
- [3] T. Brzeziński and G. Militaru, Bialgebroids,  $\times_R$ -bialgebras and duality, *J. Algebra* **251** (2002), 279–294.
- [4] T. Brzeziński and R. Wisbauer, “Corings and comodules”, *London Math. Soc. Lect. Note Ser.* **309**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [5] D. Bulacu, S. Caenepeel, F. Panaite, Yetter-Drinfeld categories for quasi-Hopf algebras, *Comm. Algebra*, to appear.

- [6] S. Caenepeel and E. De Groot, Modules over weak entwining structures, *Contemp. Math.* **267** (2000), 31–54.
- [7] S. Caenepeel, G. Militaru and S. Zhu, Crossed modules and Doi-Hopf modules, *Israel J. Math.* **100** (1997), 221–247.
- [8] Y. Doi, Unifying Hopf modules, *J. Algebra* **153** (1992), 373–385.
- [9] P. Etingof, D. Nikshych, Dynamical quantum groups at roots of 1, *Duke Math. J.* **108** (2001), 135–168.
- [10] T. Hayashi, Quantum group symmetry of partition functions of IRF models and its applications to Jones’ index theory, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **157** (1993), 331–345.
- [11] A. Joyal, R. Street, Tortile Yang-Baxter operators in tensor categories, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **71** (1991), 43–51.
- [12] C. Kassel, “Quantum groups”, *Grad. Texts Math.* **155**, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [13] M. Koppinen, Variations on the smash product with applications to group-graded rings, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **104** (1995), 61–80.
- [14] J. H. Lu, Hopf algebroids and quantum groupoids, *Intern. J. Math.* **7** (1996), 47–70.
- [15] S. Majid, Representations, duals and quantum doubles of monoidal categories, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* (2) Suppl. No. 26 (1991), 197–206.
- [16] A. Nenciu, The center construction for weak Hopf algebras, *Tsukuba J. Math.* **26** (2002), 189–2004.
- [17] D. Nikshych, V. Turaev, L. Vainerman, Invariants of knots and 3-manifolds from quantum groupoids, *Topology Appl.* **127** (2003), 91–123..
- [18] D. Nikshych, L. Vainerman, Finite quantum groupoids and their applications, in “New directions in Hopf algebras”, S. Montgomery and H.-J. Schneider (eds.), Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. **43**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2002, 211–262.
- [19] A. Ocneanu, Quantum cohomology, quantum groupoids, and subfactors, unpublished talk given at the First Caribbean School of Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, Guadeloupe, 1993.
- [20] P. Schauenburg, Bialgebras over noncommutative rings and structure theorems for Hopf bi-modules, *Appl. Categorical Structures* **6** (1998), 193–222.
- [21] P. Schauenburg, Duals and doubles of quantum groupoids ( $\times_R$ -algebras), *Contemp. Math.* **267** (2000), 273–299.
- [22] P. Schauenburg, Weak Hopf algebras and quantum groupoids, *Banach Center Publ.* **61** (2003), 171–181.
- [23] K. Szlachanyi, Finite quantum groupoids and inclusion of finite type, *Fields Institute Comm.* **30** (2001), 393–407.
- [24] M. Takeuchi, Groups of algebras over  $A \otimes \bar{A}$ , *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **29** (1977), 459–492.
- [25] T. Yamanouchi, Duality for generalized Kac algebras and a characterization of finite groupoid algebras, *J. Algebra* **163** (1994), 9–50.

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING SCIENCES, VRIJE UNIVERSITEIT BRUSSEL, VUB, B-1050 BRUSSELS, BELGIUM

*E-mail address:* scaenepe@vub.ac.be

*URL:* <http://homepages.vub.ac.be/~scaenepe/>

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, QUFU NORMAL UNIVERSITY, QUFU, SHANDONG 273165, CHINA

*E-mail address:* dgwang@qfnu.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANDONG INSTITUTE OF ARCHITECTURE AND ENGINEERING, JINAN, SHANDONG 250014, CHINA

*E-mail address:* yanmin\_yin@163.com